



UNIVERSITÄT  
HOHENHEIM

Computational  
Science Hub

# Innovating for Impact: AI Governance Education for Inclusive Societies

Dr. Johannes Bleher

October 1, 2025



## Course Design (AE4AI)

(Weuts, Bleher, Bleher, Flores, Xuanyang, Pujszo and Almási 2025)

## Ecosystem White Paper

(ASEF) (Weuts, Billones, Bleher, Pujszo, Flores, Almási, Xuanyang, Soh, Rivera, Tozsa, Cachapero and Hammerbauer 2025)

- ▶ Failure taxonomy ( $2 \times 2 + 1$ )
- ▶ AI law: EU vs. China
- ▶ Week-by-week outputs and ideas for a sandbox implementation

# Why Now?

- ⚡ AI is reshaping **economies, societies, and education** at unprecedented speed.
- ✍️ AI is reshaping academic writing: Are you writing your papers *with* AI or already *for* AI?
- ⚖️ Governance challenge: move from *principles* to *operational practice* (law, policy, oversight).
- 🏛️ Universities can act as **laboratories for inclusive AI governance**.

# Why Universities?

Universities can operationalize AI governance by coupling technical stacks (data, infrastructure) and teaching (methods, law, ethics) with governance practice (DPIAs, audits, sandbox rules).

- ▶ Not another ethics add-on  
Instead: **governance-by-design** in code, data, exams, and workflows.
- ▶ Measured by artifacts, behaviors, and external impact (*not* just course evaluations).

# Five Operational Failures (At a Glance)

## Representation

### *Biased / drifting data*

- ▶ Historical bias → unfair recommendations
- ▶ Feedback loops (e.g. predictive policing)
- ▶ Fix: datasheets, sampling audits, drift monitors

## Specification

### *Proxy targets / confounders*

- ▶ “Clicks = satisfaction” → clickbait
- ▶ Confounding: Fever or Tylenol contributing to autism?
- ▶ Fix: causal checks, counterfactual evaluation

# Five Operational Failures (At a Glance)

## Generalization

### *OOD brittleness / Goodhart*

- ▶ Benchmark gaming, reward hacking
- ▶ Weather/locale shift breaks model
- ▶ Fix: stress tests, red-teaming, eval sets by shift

## Interaction

### *Human misuse / overtrust*

- ▶ Automation bias with fluent LLMs
- ▶ Ambiguous affordances → wrong use
- ▶ Fix: usage bounds, UX warnings, literacy

## Governance

### *Oversight and strategic failures*

- ▶ Hidden reasoning / deceptive behavior; weak auditability
- ▶ Multi-agent dynamics (collusion, races to the bottom)
- ▶ Fix: audit trails, DPIA, incident response, external review

# International Regulation (Contrast)

## European Union

- ▶ *One framework law:* EU AI Act + GDPR/DSA/DMA
- ▶ *Risk-based tiers:* Unacceptable, High-, Limited- and Minimal-risk; GPAI duties apply
- ▶ *Transparency duties:* logs, model/data cards, DPIAs
- ▶ *Governance:* centralized via EU AI Office + national regulators

## China

- ▶ *Patchwork laws:* PIPL (privacy), DSL (security), sector rules
- ▶ *Focus areas:* Generative AI with “public opinion / mobilization” risks
- ▶ *Consent regime:* tiered (“general” vs. “separate” consent)
- ▶ *Governance:* decentralized, CAC emerging as coordinator

# The Alignment Problem in the Three Arenas

---

**Econometrics**    **model vs true economic behavior**

*Forecast failures, proxy misuse, distorted incentives*

---

**Artificial**            **specified metric vs societal values**

**Intelligence**        *Reward hacking, distribution shifts, misalignment*

---

**Teaching**            **exam vs graduate skills for real-world practice**

*Students optimize for tests, not for competence*

---



# From Data to Governance

- 🔧 **ASEF Working Group (EU–Asia):** move beyond the siloed *B–A–G* (Build–Assess–Govern).
- 🔗 Integrate **technical literacy** with **law/policy**, **ethics**, and **societal perspectives**.
- 🔧 Translate principles into **actionable institutional practice**.

# Universities as Governance Labs

## What this looks like in practice

- ▶ Embedded ethics in core technical courses.
- ▶ Governance documentation: model cards, DPIAs, audit trails.
- ▶ Role-play: *regulator–industry–civil society* simulations.
- ▶ Community partnerships (NGOs, public agencies, industry).

## Why universities?

- ▶ Neutral conveners across stakeholders.
- ▶ Safe-to-fail environments → *learned vigilance*.
- ▶ Talent pipelines with *governance fluency*.

# Curriculum Architecture

## Building Blocks

W1-2 AI & Society (foundations, cases)

W3-5 Technical Foundations (DL, RAG, robustness)

W6-8 Operational Failures  
(repr./spec./gen./interact./govern)

W9-10 Law & Regulation (EU AI Act, PIPL, etc.)

W11-12 Ethics (principles, justice, power)

W13-14 Interdisciplinary & Stakeholder  
Methods (VSD, embedded)

W15-16 Experiential Project (risk, compliance, policy)

## Threaded Throughout

► Justice, equity, inclusion

► Community engagement

► Faculty development

► Assessment & evidence

# Suggestions for Concrete Course Artifacts

- ▶ W1–2: Stakeholder map
- ▶ W3–5: Model card v1 + tests
- ▶ W6–8: Bias audit + drift monitor
- ▶ W9–10: Mini-DPIA (EU/China variants)
- ▶ W11–12: Decision log (trade-offs, harms)
- ▶ W13–14: Stakeholder interview memo
- ▶ W15–16: Audit packet (repo, docs, Continuous Integration)
- ▶ W17: Post-mortem + rubric self-score

# Illustrative Cases

- 🔍 **Unconventional data** → **policy**: search/activity data for inflation/consumption → bias & governance concerns.
- 🔗 **HF markets**: order book dynamics → misalignment propagation, oversight needs.
- 👥 **Education analytics**: socio-educational data projects → fairness, consent, and DPIA practice.

# Governance Sandbox

## Stack as Sandbox

- ▶ JupyterHub + GitLab: reproducibility, logs, reviews.
- ▶ Controlled exams (SEB): *own skill* assessment.
- ▶ Templates: model cards, risk registers, audit checklists.

## Learning Outcomes

- ▶ Diagnose failure modes; propose mitigations.
- ▶ Navigate regulation across jurisdictions.
- ▶ Engage stakeholders; justify trade-offs.

# Call to Action

- ⊕ **Integrate** ethics, law, and policy into technical teaching *by default*.
- 🤝 **Co-produce** curricula with communities, industry, and policymakers.
- ↻ **Institutionalize** sandboxes: continuous update, audits, faculty training.

# Connect. Equip. Achieve.

---



## Connect

break silos • include  
different voices



## Equip

methods +  
infrastructure +  
governance practice



## Achieve

responsible AI for inclusive  
societies



# References

- Weuts, R., Billones, R. K., Bleher, J., Pujszo, P., Flores, R. T., Almási, Z., Xuanyang, G., Soh, J., Rivera, C., Tozsa, R., Cachapero, C. and Hammerbauer, M.: 2025, White Paper for Universities on Navigating Artificial Intelligence Innovation Ecosystems in the area of AI Governance. Accessed: 2025-03-15.
- Weuts, R., Bleher, J., Bleher, H., Flores, R. T., Xuanyang, G., Pujszo, P. and Almási, Z.: 2025, Ai governance in higher education: A course design exploring regulatory, ethical and practical considerations. Submitted on 7 September 2025.